


### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Upon careful and complete consideration of the Office Action dated December 8, 2004, applicants have amended the claims which, when considered in conjunction with the comments herein below, are deemed to place the present application into condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully solicited.

Although the Office Action has rejected claims 19-22, the Examiner indicated in the Office Action that claims 23-26 and 28-30 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. This is what the applicants have done. The subject matter of claim 23, as well as the subject matter of intervening claims 20, 21 and 22, have been added to independent claim 19. As further indicated by the Examiner, U.S. Patent No. 6,767,988 to Okushita et al. neither discloses nor renders obvious an aromatic polycarbonate diol nor polyamide blocks having the claimed molecular weights of the present invention.

As the applicants have restricted the claimed invention to include the allowable subject matter identified by the Examiner, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of the claims be withdrawn. Further, it is respectfully submitted that all the claims in the application as presently submitted contain patentable subject matter and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Edward W. Grolz  
Registration No. 33,705

SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER  
400 Garden City Plaza-Ste. 300  
Garden City, New York 11530  
(516) 742-4343

EWG/nf